

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/986,977	11/13/2001	Yasushi Nishimura	SN-US000610	6019	
22919	7590 03/06/2003				
SHINJYU GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			EXAMINER		
	1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			LANGDON, EVAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>a</u>	6
ن د	4

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/986,977	NISHIMURA, YASUSHI		
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Evan H Langdon	3654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)  disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim1-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuya in view of Manabe.

Kazuya shows a fishing reel comprising a reel body 2, a handle assembly and a linewinding spool 8, and the reel having a metal membrane 32 formed by a metal plating process on an obverse side of the component body.

Kazuya fails to show a ground film-layer formed by a paint coat and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect and being formed transparently as a layer on the ground film layer side.

Manabe teaches applying a ground film layer and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect formed as a layer on the ground film layer side as explained in column 3 on lines 14-24, 30-43, 67-68, in column 4 on lines 1-3, and in column 5 on lines 1-12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fishing reel metal membrane of Kazuya to include a ground file-layer

Art Unit: 3654

and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect as suggested by Manabe, to provide protection for the component body with the ground-film layer and, further, an adhesive surface with the ground-film layer for metal film layer that provides a metallic luster and further protection.

In regards to claim 17, the fishing reel of Kazuya as modified by Manabe is a dual bearing fishing reel and the spool 8 is rotatably fitted to the reel body as seen in Figures 1 and 2 (Kazuya).

In regards to claims 18, Kazuya as modified by Manabe teaches the metal film layer formed by metal vapor deposition and contains one of chrome, nickel, zinc, magnesium, aluminum, a stainless steel alloy, and titanium as explained in column 3 on lines 14-24, 30-43, 67-68, in column 4 on lines 1-3, and in column 5 on lines 1-12 (Manabe).

In regards to claim 19, Kazuya as modified by Manabe teaches a protective film layer fomed by a clear paint coat on the obverse side of the metal film means in column 3 on lines 14-24, 30-43, 67-68, in column 4 on lines 1-3, and in column 5 on lines 1-12 (Manabe).

In regards to claim 20, Kazuya as modified by Manabe teaches an anodized layer is formed in between the component body and the ground film layer by anodixing as explained in paragraphs 21-23 (Kazuya), and the component body of the reel being formed from at least aluminum or magnesium alloy.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mamoru in view of Manabe.

Mamoru shows a fishing reel comprising a reel body 2, a handle assembly and a linewinding spool 4, and the reel having a metallic skin layer 14 formed by a metal plating process on an obverse side of the component body.

Art Unit: 3654

Mamoru fails to show a ground film-layer formed by a paint coat and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect and being formed transparently as a layer on the ground film layer side.

Manabe teaches applying a ground file-layer and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect formed as a layer on the ground film layer side as explained in column 3 on lines 14-24, 30-43, 67-68, in column 4 on lines 1-3, and in column 5 on lines 1-12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the fishing reel metallic skin layer of Mamoru to include a ground file-layer and a metal film layer providing a mirror effect as suggested by Manabe, to provide protection for the component body with the ground-film layer and, further, an adhesive surface with the ground-film layer for metal film layer that provides a metallic luster and further protection.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan H Langdon whose telephone number is (703)-306-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703)-308-2688. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-0552 for regular communications and (703)-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

ehl March 1, 2003

> KATHY MATECKI SUPERVISORY PATENT

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600